

WETHERSFIELD HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING
JUNE 22, 2004

The Wethersfield Historic District Commission held a public meeting on June 22, 2004 at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Conference Room of the Town Hall, 505 Silas Deane Highway, Wethersfield, Connecticut.

Members Present:

Billy Logan, Chairperson
Douglas Ovian, Vice Chairperson
Jennifer Wolf, Clerk
Robert A. Garrey
Eric Hart
Vacek Miglus
John Toomey

Also Present:

Robert Cook, Wethersfield Historic District Coordinator

Chairperson Logan called the hearing to order at 7:30 p.m. and Clerk Wolf, read the Legal Notice as it appeared in the Hartford Courant on June 11, 2004.

APPLICATION NO. 3212-04. Wethersfield Tourism Commission seeking to place an informational Historic Marker at 222 Main Street and Identification Plaques at 203, 211, 250 & 320 Main Street.

Anne Kuckro, 471 Main Street, Chairperson of the Wethersfield Tourism Commission appeared before the Board requesting permission to place an informational Historic Marker at 222 Main Street and Identification Plaques at 203, 211, 250 & 320 Main Street. Ms. Kuckro provided the Board with an illustration of the proposed Historic Marker which would appear at 222 Main Street. She stated that the actual marker would be 3' x 4' and would be consistent with the signs used by the National Park Service. The marker would have metal legs which will stand 30" high with a metal frame and the surface would be laminated which would provide a surface that can be easily cleaned. The image would highlight the importance played by Wethersfield during the American Revolution starting with the Treaty of Paris in 1763, as well as the role of Silas Deane, who was the country's first diplomat, Benjamin Franklin, and George Washington and their roles in Wethersfield and in history. The sign would be placed inside of the sidewalk in front of 222 Main Street and would be facing north.

Vice Chairperson Ovian asked if the sign would be parallel to the sidewalk. Ms. Kuckro indicated no and provided a visual location for the placement of the marker. Vice Chairperson Ovian asked if the sign was placed more vertical would it provide a driver with more visibility. Ms. Kuckro felt it was more of a pedestrian sign and discouraged drivers' trying to read it while driving and further stated it was not intended for a motorist to read but for pedestrians walking along the sidewalk. Vice Chairperson Ovian mentioned a bench located north of the proposed sign and was concerned with two signs that were at right angles and too close to each other.

Ms. Kuckro hoped to have pavers installed by town crews in this area. Vice Chairperson Ovian suggested putting it parallel to the sidewalk and the bench, which would not require further installation of pavers since there are already pavers in place. Ms. Kuckro felt it was important when providing a map that north be presented upwards which was why she was proposing its location and placement at a right angle.

Commissioner Miglus asked about the durability of the inks proposed for this marker and whether there would be fading with the use of the bright colors proposed. Ms. Kuckro stated that the company making this marker would provide a second image to be used should it fade over time. This project was being organized by the State Preservation Office and the design would be turned over to it when she obtains approval for the marker.

Ms. Kuckro spoke about the other proposed markers, which she would like to have mounted inside the sidewalk area. Initially, each marker would be 7" x 7" bronze plaques with no color. A metal post with an 8" x 8" angled bracket design similar to the posts used on Broad Street would cost about \$250 per post. Ms. Kuckro asked the town if it could make it using wood. She proposed using a pressure treated 2' x 4' with the two facing forward and the depth the length of the sign and placed at a 45(angle at the top of the wooden post. The wooden member would be 1" thick and 8" x 8" which would be a little larger than the bronze plaques. This would be an alternative which would cost about \$100 to produce.

Chairperson Logan asked if the town could produce this in metal. Ms. Kuckro said the town could use a standard metal post with a 2" diameter but they could not reproduce the molded bracket with the ring which slips over the post. She requested both be approved and the commission could pay \$100 and the homeowner could choose the metal and pay the difference. She was still pursuing other options which would not be as costly, but preferred keeping the signs consistent. Only one estimate has been obtained from a local sign shop and she would check other sign shops as well as use the internet in order to obtain other estimates for this project. She requested approval of the main sign so that it could be manufactured and installed in the fall of 2004. The homeowners have the plaques and are awaiting an economical manner in which to display them.

Ms. Kuckro confirmed one private homeowner which had a wrought iron fence and there was a possibility of attaching the plaque to the fence. First Church already has a plaque placed on the west side of the church which talks about the Rochambeau meeting but the State Historic District Commission did not feel it could be affixed directly to the building. Ms. Kuckro offered to have the plaques tabled until she was able to provide a definite method of displaying them.

There being no one else who wished to speak in favor or against this application, this portion of the hearing was declared closed.

APPLICATION NO. 3213-04. Anto Pezic seeking to install a fence in the back yard at 25 Hart Street.

Anto Pezic and his son Dario Pezic both of 25 Hart Street came before the Board seeking permission to install a fence in the back yard at 25 Hart Street. Mr. Pezic indicated that his dad wished to have a fence installed in the back yard because he and his sister were allergic to poison ivy. There are no houses behind their home.

Chairperson Logan asked what the fence would look like. Mr. Pezic indicated the fence would be 6' x 8' and be of wood construction. The boards would be flat and close together. The top of each board would be pointed.

Commissioner Miglus asked if the fence would be a stockade fence. Mr. Pezic was not familiar with what a stockade fence looked like. He indicated their next door neighbor had a fence similar to what he was proposing.

Commissioner Hart asked if the fence would be solid or would there be spaces. Mr. Pezic indicated it would be solid. Commissioner Hart asked what the house number of the next door neighbor was. Mr. Pezic did not know what the next door neighbor's house number was.

Chairperson Logan confirmed the fence would be 6' tall and 8' long. She asked if the fence would surround the entire yard. Mr. Pezic confirmed the fence would be placed around the back of the yard and not up the sides.

Vice Chairperson Ovian asked where the property line was and if it would be placed on the property line. Mr. Pezic indicated where the fence would be placed and confirmed the poison ivy was only in the back and not on the sides of the yard.

Mr. Pezic asked about a tree located in the neighbor's yard which was beginning to fall. Vice Chairperson Ovian suggested cutting the area in Mr. Pezic's yard. He suggested they call the town to check as to what he could remove prior to doing it. He also suggested contacting the neighbor and advising them of their intent to remove branches on their side.

There being no one else who wished to speak in favor or against this application, this portion of the hearing was

declared closed.

APPLICATION NO. 3214-04. Emanuel Harris seeking to restore garage front wall and replace garage door at 29 Deerfield Road.

Emanuel Harris came before the Board seeking permission to restore a garage front wall and replace garage door at 29 Deerfield Road.

Mr. Cook wished to point out that the letter and the description on the application were not an accurate match.

Mr. Harris stated that he would like to restore a garage which was erected when the house was first built. The garage door would be the same as an old photograph of the garage. It would be wooden with flat panels with the rails and style that were used on the original door. Surrounding homes also have the same style of door as Mr. Harris was proposing.

Chairperson Logan asked if he needed the room anymore. Mr. Harris indicated extensive termite damage and felt a garage would be nice to have.

Commissioner Miglus asked if he was proposing any windows for the garage door. Mr. Harris indicated the door would not have any windows.

Chairperson Logan asked if he intended to change anything else with the garage. Mr. Harris stated he would not be altering the garage other than requested in his application.

There being no one else who wished to speak in favor or against this application, this portion of the hearing was declared closed.

APPLICATION NO. 3215-04. Stephen & Barbara Davis seeking to install a shed in the rear yard and construct a deck at 116 Center Street.

Stephen Davis of 116 Center Street came before the Board seeking to install a shed in the rear yard and construct a deck. He wished to install a storage shed behind the house on an existing floor which was reflected on his plot plan. Prior to buying the home, there was a single-car garage off of Garden Street which was where he was proposing a storage shed. He provided photographs of examples of storage sheds. He provided photographs of proposed siding for this shed. He wished to have two windows in order to bring in natural light with no shutters since the house does not have shutters. It would be painted white to match the house.

Commissioner Miglus asked about the current garage. Mr. Davis indicated that the previous owner constructed a two-car garage and the driveway was now off of Center Street. Commissioner Miglus asked if Mr. Davis considered replicating the garage that was originally there in style and roof pitch. Mr. Davis said they considered this. Commissioner Miglus felt a single-car garage was impractical but made wonderful sheds and asked whether he would consider having the shed's roof with more of a pitch consistent with the style of the previous one-car garage. He also wished to see a garage door used rather than a shed door and placing another door on the side more fitting for a garage rather than off the middle. Mr. Davis indicated they had considered this and would prefer what he has provided in his photographs of prefab sheds. He indicated they hoped to move items such as bikes from his garage to a storage shed in order to free up some room. He hoped to use the existing floor.

Mr. Davis also would like to propose the removal of bushes and put in Black American arborvitae's which would provide a natural fence. He also requested permission to construct a deck on the rear of the house. Prior to making renovations to their house they did not have an exit from the back of the house. They now have an exit from their kitchen which leads out to two steps in the back of the house. Mr. Davis hoped to construct a deck similar to a photograph which would be 18" off the ground and not require any railings. He was looking for more of a raised patio.

Vice Chairperson Ovia asked what the size of the proposed deck would be and the shed. Mr. Davis indicated the shed would be 10' x 18'. The deck would extend out 6' and be 12' from the kitchen door. The shed would be pressured

treated lumber and the deck would either be the same or composite depending on the price and whether he wished to maintain the wood. He would prefer using composite for the deck.

Commissioner Miglus asked what the doors would look like on the shed. Mr. Davis indicated they would swing open and face Garden Street.

Chairperson Logan confirmed the windows and man door would be facing the back of his garage. Mr. Davis said the doors would look like a photograph he provided and not be vinyl but would have raised panels and cross braces going across and cut in the center.

Commissioner Miglus asked what the man door would look like. Mr. Davis said it would be a flat entry door.

Chairperson Logan suggested not acting on the door and have Mr. Davis come back with a picture of what he wanted. The Board could approve his application and not act on a door until the Board had a photograph or a clearer idea of what it would look like. Mr. Davis agreed with this suggestion.

Mr. Cook suggested he call him when he was ready to present a better idea of what the door would look like.

Vice Chairperson Ovian asked what the windows would look like in the shed. Mr. Davis provided a photograph of the windows which would be wood. Vice Chairperson Ovian suggested he bring in either a picture of the window or a sample of the window being proposed.

Chairperson Logan confirmed he would like more light and windows that open.

Vice Chairperson Ovian asked if the bottom half of the windows would open over the top half. Mr. Davis confirmed this and it would be a 2 over 2 double hung window similar to his house window.

Chairperson Logan asked Mr. Gothers if he wished to come forward. Mr. Timothy Gothers, 16 State Street said he suggested a 2 over 2 wooden window with single panes for the shed. He said it would look like a typical wood window. The door would be a 9 light with a cross buck bottom. He did not have a catalog in order to show the Board.

Chairperson Logan confirmed the shed would be shingled to match the house in white. Mr. Davis indicated it would be flat wood sided.

Mr. Timothy Gothers, 16 State Street spoke in favor of this application. He said the deck would be consistent with other decks in the neighborhood. He also mentioned that Mr. Davis was a shop teacher in Portland and would do a nice job building the deck.

There being no one else who wished to speak against this application, this portion of the hearing was declared closed.

APPLICATION NO. 3216-04. James Pawlak seeking to replace the windows at 47-49 Church Street.

Mr. Pawlak of 47-49 Church Street came before the Board seeking permission to replace the staircase windows.

Mr. Cook pointed out that the house has vinyl windows. Mr. Pawlak confirmed the entire house was done about seven or eight years ago. He further stated the job was contracted out and he was under the impression that the proper permits were obtained at the time the job was done. Mr. Cook mentioned a prior conversation in which he asked Mr. Pawlak if he had received approval from the Historic District Commission to have these windows installed. Mr. Pawlak said the contractors took care of the permits and did not know if the Historic District Commission had provided permission for vinyl windows.

Mr. Cook suggested tabling this application until he could determine if the Historic District Commission had approved the installation of vinyl windows.

Chairperson Logan asked if Ms. Osgood was his next door neighbor. Mr. Pawlak confirmed this.

Mr. Cook asked if this could be tabled until he could look into this. Mr. Pawlak said his contractor indicated he had obtained the necessary permits for this work.

Vice Chairperson Ovian asked who he used. Mr. Pawlak said he used Sears and Home Depot. Vice Chairperson Ovian said both were large companies so that they could check into this.

Mr. Cook will look into this.

Mr. Pawlak stated he had a roof done. Vice Chairperson Ovian asked Mr. Cook to check to see if the roof was also done properly.

Chairperson Logan agreed to table this application until answers were available.

There being no one else who wished to speak in favor or against this application, this portion of the hearing was declared closed.

APPLICATION NO. 3217-04. Celia Gomes seeking to install an above ground pool and deck at 96 Harmund Place.

Celia Gomes of 96 Harmund Place came before the Board seeking permission to install an above-ground pool and deck. She provided a picture of a 15' x 24' pool with a deck which would measure 6' x 15'.

Chairperson Logan indicated their concern was whether it could be seen from the street. She further indicated if Ms. Gomes was requesting an in-ground pool, a fence would be required. The Historic District requires a fence so that the pool cannot be seen from the street. Ms. Gomes mentioned the location of the pool in the back yard. She indicated the deck would be seen from the street. Chairperson Logan asked what was behind her property. Ms. Gomes stated her backyard faced Hartford Avenue and her property was shaped like a triangle. She showed the location of the proposed pool and deck to the Board.

Commissioner Miglus confirmed the size of the deck and pool.

Vice Chairperson Ovian indicated a 6' fence would not hide the deck but the pool only. Ms. Gomes mentioned that the pool was 52" high and the braiding above it was only 3'. Vice Chairperson Ovian asked if she were in a position to build something similar to her neighbor's. Ms. Gomes indicated that her house was a raised ranch and referred to a picture.

Mr. Cook mentioned her back yard was not large but what was being proposed was large. Ms. Gomes mentioned speaking to someone in the Building/Engineering Department and was told it was fine.

Chairperson Logan confirmed that Ms. Gomes provided the town with the dimension of the pool and deck.

Commissioner Miglus stated the Board wished to have the least amount of impact on the neighborhood and somehow shield the pool and deck from the street. Ms. Gomes indicated that Mr. Cook suggested that she request permission to put up a fence. She provided the Board with a picture of a fence. Mr. Cook mentioned a neighbor who had a pool without a fence and they were grandfathered under a time when the Building Department was providing permits without HDC approval.

Vice Chairperson Ovian felt the Building Department might feel an above ground pool was in itself a fence and the only thing that needed to be secured was the ladder.

Mr. Cook mentioned to Ms. Gomes it was a policy and that she should consider asking for permission to put up a fence.

Chairperson Logan said the Building Department used to just give permits and not inform the residents that live in the Historic District that they had to go before the Historic District Commission for permission. Mr. Cook indicated that this was not the case today.

Commissioner Miglus wished to have the opportunity to see where the pool would be located in the yard and the impact it would have from the street. Ms. Gomes said this was fine. She stated if permission to have the deck were granted she would like to have the deck facing the street and not the back side of the yard which was mostly shade.

Vice Chairperson Ovia asked Ms. Gomes to provide a rough sketch drawn on her plot plan as to where she was proposing to put the pool and shed.

Chairperson Logan asked that a fence be also drawn as to where it would be located in the sketch.

Ms. Gomes asked if they would be required to put up a fence if the pool could not be seen from the street. Chairperson Logan indicated if it wasn't visible from Hartford Avenue she would not be required to put up a fence. Ms. Gomes indicated that it could not be seen from Hartford Avenue because of a house. She further stated it would only be seen after pulling into the cul-de-sac and pull into the driveway.

Vice Chairperson Ovia indicated the Board did not usually approve a pool without having a fence around it. Ms. Gomes asked about the neighbor that was grand fathered. Mr. Cook indicated he would obtain more information regarding this issue. Ms. Gomes mentioned that their pool was visible from the street. Vice Chairperson Ovia indicated that everyone that comes before this Board is viewed separately.

Chairperson Logan indicated that the Board will be viewing her property from the street. Ms. Gomes indicated that she would not have an answer tomorrow. Chairperson Logan indicated that she needed to come before the Board and their next meeting was July 13th.

Ms. Gomes indicated she would not order anything until she hears from the Board. Chairperson Logan suggested talking with the company where she intended to purchase the pool and perhaps come up with an alternative plan.

A letter in support of this application from Leah Poisson, 13 Harmund Court, was read.

There being no one else who wished to speak against this application, this portion of the hearing was declared closed.

APPLICATION NO. 3210-04. Robert & Amalie Montstream seeking to replace siding at 357 Garden Street (TABLED - Last Meeting Date to Act on: July 27, 2004).

Commissioner Wolf informed the Board that Mr. Montstream indicated that he wished to replace the clad boards that needed to be replaced with wood. Mr. Montstream indicated the boards would range from 3 3/4 to 4 1/4 at various places on the house. The upper portion of the house was in good condition and did not need to be replaced. The trim would not be touched.

Commissioner Miglus indicated if the boards were replaced properly you would not be able to see the slight variation in the boards.

Chairperson Logan indicated that they did not have to appear before the commission.

APPLICATION NO. 3215-04. Stephen & Barbara Davis seeking to install a shed in the rear yard and construct a deck at 116 Center Street.

Stephen Davis, 116 Center Street asked the Commission to consider when discussing his application the use of cementitious fiber board for the siding and obtain a closer exposure of 8" which would look more like his house.

Commissioner Miglus said the wide exposure looked nice on his house and asked if it would work as well on a smaller building. Mr. Davis was not sure and was open to suggestions. He felt the use of cementitious fiber board was less expensive.

Chairperson Logan mentioned novelty siding was used on garages and suggested that also.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to close the public hearing and all the aforementioned applications except for Application No. 3217-04 Celia Gomes and Application 3216-04 James Pawlak and open the public meeting.

Ayes: Logan, Ovian, Wolf, Garrey, Hart, Miglus, Toomey

**WETHERSFIELD HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION
PUBLIC MEETING
JUNE 22, 2004**

APPLICATION NO. 3212-04. Wethersfield Tourism Commission seeking to place an informational Historic Marker at 222 Main Street and Identification Plaques at 203, 211, 250 & 320 Main Street.

Informal Discussion

Commissioner Miglus felt the proposal was appropriate and would make a favorable impact on the district.

Vice Chairperson Ovian asked if they only proposed metal would it help the Historic Commission make its argument. Both Chairperson Logan and Commissioner Wolf disagreed with this. Vice Chairperson Ovian indicated wooden posts would not look good or be as durable. He felt more money could be obtained. He did not support wooden supports in front of the homes.

Commissioner Wolf indicated that Ms. Kuckro would do more research on this subject.

Commissioner Toomey agreed with Vice Chairperson Ovian and felt that metal would be more durable.

Chairperson Logan mentioned that Ms. Kuckro will do the best possible.

Vice Chairperson Ovian felt it was setting the standard and felt the need to post the signs and felt it was important to address the material used for the supports.

Commissioner Garrey also felt it was important for this Board to approve metal supports and felt it would help Ms. Kuckro.

Commissioner Miglus did not feel that anything was presented tonight that would urge this Board to go with metal or with wood because of setting precedence for future grant writing in other towns.

Vice Chairperson Ovian mentioned attending a Historical Society meeting and that was why he was making his comments.

Commissioner Garrey also supported metal since it was what he wanted to see. He felt if it could not be done using metal then the applicant should come back and let the Board know.

Chairperson Logan asked if Bolton was using metal. Commissioner Toomey indicated he had not seen anything yet.

Chairperson Logan stated that she would be abstaining from voting on all the applications this evening and that Commissioner Toomey would vote in her place.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission it was voted to APPROVE the subject application with the following stipulations:

1. There shall be a 3' x 4' informational sign mounted 30" high in front of 222 Main Street.
2. There shall be small bronze plaques mounted on 7" x 7" angled legs sitting on a 30" high metal post.

Aye: Ovian, Wolf, Garrey, Hart, Miglus, Toomey Abst: Logan

APPLICATION NO. 3213-04. Anto Pezic seeking to install a fence in the back yard at 25 Hart Street.

Informal Discussion

Commissioner Miglus felt it was appropriate and would have minimal impact on the neighborhood.

Chairperson Logan suggested Mr. Cook discuss the issue raised concerning the removal of tree limbs with Mr. Pezic.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission it was voted to APPROVE the subject application with the following stipulation:

1. There shall be a 6' flat board stockade fence across the entire rear yard within 3' of rear property line with the good side facing the neighbor.

Aye: Ovian, Wolf, Garrey, Hart, Miglus, Toomey Abst: Logan

APPLICATION NO. 3214-04. Emanuel Harris seeking to restore garage front wall and replace garage door at 29 Deerfield Road.

Informal Discussion

Vice Chairperson Ovian felt it was a wonderful restoration for this house.

Upon motion by Vice Chairperson Ovian, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission it was voted to APPROVE the subject application as submitted.

Aye: Ovian, Wolf, Garrey, Hart, Miglus, Toomey Abst: Logan

APPLICATION NO. 3215-04. Stephen & Barbara Davis seeking to install a shed in the rear yard and construct a deck at 116 Center Street.

Informal Discussion

Commissioner Miglus felt the shed/garage will be a reasonable replica of what was there at one time. He felt the decking will have minimum impact.

Chairperson Logan mentioned if it were a garage it would be facing a shed and it was on the garage pad.

Commissioner Miglus felt the prefab sheds looked like a small utility building as opposed to a garage.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission it was voted to APPROVE the subject application with the following stipulations:

1. Shed shall be 10' x 18' with a roof pitch of at least 7/12.
2. End doors shall be two wood cross buck doors.
3. Man door shall be a wood nine light cross buck.
4. Windows shall be double hung 2 over 2 wood windows.
5. Deck shall be approximately 18' x 18' with no more than 2 steps high.
6. Decking surface shall be composite decking.
7. Siding shall be wood or cementitious fiber board.

Aye: Ovian, Wolf, Garrey, Hart, Miglus, Toomey Abst: Logan

APPLICATION NO. 3216-04. James Pawlak seeking to replace the windows at 47-49 Church Street.

Informal Discussion

Upon motion by Commissioner Wolf, seconded by Vice Chairperson Ovian and a poll of the Commission it was voted to TABLE the subject application.

Aye: Ovian, Wolf, Garrey, Hart, Miglus, Toomey Abst: Logan

APPLICATION NO. 3217-04. Celia Gomes seeking to install an above ground pool and deck at 96 Harmund Place.

Informal Discussion

Chairperson Logan asked all members to look at this property.

Upon motion by Commissioner Wolf, seconded by Vice Chairperson Ovian and a poll of the Commission it was voted to TABLE the subject application.

Aye: Ovian, Wolf, Garrey, Hart, Miglus, Toomey Abst: Logan

APPLICATION NO. 3210-04. Robert & Amalie Montstream seeking to replace siding at 357 Garden Street. (TABLED - Last Meeting Date to Act On: July 27, 2004).

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Vice Chairperson Ovian and a poll of the Commission it was voted to remove the subject application from the table.

Aye: Ovian, Wolf, Garrey, Hart, Miglus, Toomey Abst: Logan

Informal Discussion

Vice Chairperson Ovian felt the house was in good shape and any work will extend the life of the structure.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Garrey and a poll of the Commission it was voted to APPROVE the subject application with the following stipulations:

1. That wood siding shall be replaced as needed.

Aye: Ovian, Garrey, Hart, Miglus, Toomey Abst: Logan, Wolf

PUBLIC MATTERS

APPLICATION NO. 3115-03. Marnie Van Dyke request for an amendment to the approved Certificate of Appropriateness to replace a temporary vinyl fence with a wooden fence that is a duplicate of fence approved for another location on the property of 56 Marsh Street.

Chairperson Logan asked how long the fence would be staying up. Mr. Cook stated that the company contacted the applicant and informed her she was on their work list.

Commissioner Wolf read a letter to Mr. Cook dated June 8, 2004 requesting an addendum to the application requesting replacement of a vinyl fence with a wood fence.

Mr. Cook indicated that she was on the agenda for this week. She had not contacted him about appearing at this meeting.

Informal Discussion

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Garrey and a poll of the Commission it was voted to APPROVE the subject application as submitted.

Aye: Ovian, Wolf, Garrey, Miglus, Toomey Abst: Logan, Hart

APPROVAL OF [MINUTES OF: MAY 25, 2004](#)

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission it was voted that the above minutes BE APPROVED AS SUBMITTED.

APPROVAL OF [MINUTES OF: JUNE 8, 2004](#)

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission it was voted that the above minutes BE APPROVED AS SUBMITTED.

Aye: Logan, Ovian, Wolf, Garrey, Hart, Miglus, Toomey

General Matters of the Historic District

APPLICATION 3191-04. Nora Uricchio, 81 Spring Street.

Tim Gothers 16 State Street and Nora Uricchio, 81 Spring Street

Mr. Gothers stated that the addition at 81 Spring Street needed to be altered. He thanked the Board for approving the extra 2' they had requested. He proposed eliminating the 4' wide porch and keep the corner in line with the house. The stairs would remain the same. Money saved on the porch would be used for eaves and soffits.

Chairperson Logan asked about a front door. Mr. Gothers agreed that a door would be put in and showed where it would be located. Mr. Gothers also proposed extending the front door area out and put in two posts that would be dressed up. The columns would be tied in with the other columns. The roof pitch would be consistent. The back would still have a shed dormer which would be hidden by a tree. Trim would be used to break up the large area. A drawing will be submitted that shows the shed roof and gabled dormer.

The roof soffit would be the same size as the on both side of the front door. Everything would be pulled down.

Commissioner Miglus asked about the concrete in front of the house. Mr. Gothers said it would remain the same. Mr. Gothers mentioned plantings were there before. Commissioner Miglus asked where the deck would be located. Mr. Gothers said it would be square and would be reduced by 4'. He mentioned a house on the corner of Garden and Church with the same type of dormer.

Commissioner Miglus confirmed he was submitting the drawing used tonight.

Commissioner Wolf asked when the original application was submitted.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission it was voted to APPROVE THIS APPLICATION AS PER THE DRAWINGS SUBMITTED TONIGHT.

Aye: Ovian, Wolf, Garrey, Hart, Miglus, Toomey Abst: Logan

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted

TOWN OF WETHERSFIELD
HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION

Jennifer Wolf

Clerk