

[NOTE: These minutes are made available to the public prior to Historic District Commission acceptance.]

**WETHERSFIELD HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION
PUBLIC HEARING
JUNE 8, 2004**

The Wethersfield Historic District Commission held a public meeting on June 8, 2004 at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Conference Room of the Town Hall, 505 Silas Deane Highway, Wethersfield, Connecticut.

Members Present:

Billye Logan, Chairperson
Jennifer Wolf, Clerk
Robert A. Garrey
Vacek Miglus

Members Absent:

Douglas Ovian, Vice Chairperson
Eric Hart
John Toomey

Also Present:

Robert Cook, Wethersfield Historic District Coordinator

Chairperson Logan called the hearing to order at 7:30 p.m. and Clerk Wolf, read the Legal Notice as it appeared in the Hartford Courant on May 28, 2004.

APPLICATION NO. 3206-04. Kurt D. Miller seeking to replace and expand the existing deck, and enclose and add on to the existing breezeway at 361 Hartford Avenue.

Kurt Miller, 361 Hartford Avenue, appeared before the Board requesting permission to replace an existing deck, which was 28 years old and unsafe and had to be torn down. The proposed deck would be 13' longer than the existing deck. He was also requesting permission to enclose the breezeway from the house to the garage and use it like a Florida room. The view from the front of the house will reflect three French doors, because large hedges block the remaining view.

Chairperson Logan requested a picture of the doors, which Mr. Miller had already purchased. Mr. Miller mentioned the doors were all glass. Chairperson Logan confirmed the deck would be larger than the existing one.

Commissioner Wolf requested a photo of the doors.

Commissioner Miglus mentioned the difference in the pitch of the roof from the sketches presented. Mr. Miller explained the pitch and how the roof would look.

Commissioner Miglus gave Mr. Miller a digital camera and requested he take a photograph of the doors and return to the meeting so that the Board could see what the doors would look like. The Board thanked him for providing a photograph of the doors. He mentioned obtaining the doors from a place in Durham, Maine. He confirmed the three doors would fill the space and he only needed to trim some off either the top or bottom. Commissioner Miglus did not wish to see the doors appear to be squeezed into a small space. Mr. Miller confirmed the doors would not appear to be squeezed into a space smaller than needed. A discussion concerning a proposed cupola was brought up. Mr. Miller indicated if the cupola in the back were an issue, he could remove it from his plan. Commissioner Miglus suggested if he wished to have a cupola, he would need to provide a sketch and present it to the Board.

There being no one who wished to speak in favor or against this application, this portion of the hearing was declared closed.

APPLICATION NO. 3207-04. Thomas M. Ayres seeking to install a shed in the rear yard at 18 Foote Path Lane.

Mr. Thomas Ayres, 18 Foote Path Lane and his son, appeared before the Commission seeking permission to install a shed. He indicated the shed would be located in the backyard next to his neighbor's shed.

Chairperson Logan requested a description of the proposed shed. Mr. Ayres presented a photograph from a brochure illustrating what the shed would look like. Chairperson Logan confirmed the windows would be dark and would have the same style door and flower boxes as shown in the brochure. Mr. Ayres indicated he wished to stain the shed, but if the stain does not hold up, he will paint the shed the same color as the house. The roof shingles will be consistent with what is on the house.

There being no one who wished to speak in favor or against this application, this portion of the hearing was declared closed.

APPLICATION NO. 3208-04. Carolyn D. Vallieres seeking to install replacement windows at 4 Wilcox Street.

Ms. Carolyn Vallieres, 4 Wilcox Street, and her contractor David Radomski, appeared before the Commission seeking permission to install replacement windows. She indicated she wished to preserve the style of the house, which was built in 1901, but the existing windows were too heavy and needed to be replaced. The existing windows were 9 over 1 with heavy storms. She wished to purchase replacement windows with 9 over 1 windows. She did not intend to replace the front window of the house, which had a diamond shape and did not open. A sample of the proposed windows was on display for the Board to see.

Chairperson Logan asked if Ms. Vallieres considered putting in a triple track window on the first floor. Ms. Vallieres felt the triple track windows did not look authentic.

Commissioner Miglus asked what was being proposed. Mr. Radomski referred to a portable window display. He indicated the window on display did not have the simulated divided lights on the outside, which was what he had shown to Ms. Vallieres. Ms. Vallieres stated the windows being proposed would have the simulated divided lights on the outside.

Chairperson Logan asked if the windows would have the same grid. Mr. Radomski indicated the simulated window was the same window with the snap-in grid on the inside and the aluminum grid, which would adhere to the outer glass.

Commissioner Miglus asked what color was being considered. Ms. Vallieres indicated the color would be almond, which was the closest color to the existing windows. Commissioner Miglus complimented Ms. Vallieres' on the appearance of her home.

Commissioner Garrey asked if the proposed windows were solid wood clad. Mr. Radomski stated the windows would have a wooden interior with aluminum exterior.

Commissioner Miglus asked Ms. Vallieres and her contractor if they explored any other options concerning replacing the windows. Ms. Vallieres stated she had, but felt this was the best window due to its ability to retain the authenticity of the home. She mentioned the front door had been replaced as well as the front porch roof, which was damaged.

In response to Commissioner Wolf's question, Ms. Vallieres indicated she could not replace two large balls, which had adorned the house after they had deteriorated.

There being no one who wished to speak in favor or against this application, this portion of the hearing was declared closed.

APPLICATION NO. 3209-04. Antonio & Ann Bascetta seeking to install a shed in the rear yard at 36 Northbrick Lane.

Antonio & Ann Bascetta, 36 Northbrick Lane, appeared before the Commission seeking to install a shed in the rear yard.

Referring to a photograph, Chairperson Logan confirmed this was the shed, which was in the yard now.

Mr. Cook indicated that the Fire Marshal had viewed the proposed shed and confirmed it complied with fire regulations.

Chairperson Logan asked if it would be positioned as depicted in the photographs. Ms. Bascetta confirmed the location of the shed in the yard, which was barely visible from the street. Ms. Bascetta indicated they had stopped work on it when the Town told them they had to stop and seek permission from the Historic District Commissioner before resuming work.

Commissioner Miglus asked if the shed would have doors added on. Ms. Bascetta confirmed doors would be added eventually.

Chairperson Logan asked if the shed would have a double or single door. Ms. Bascetta indicated that her and her husband had not made any final decision as to whether it would have a single or double door. After further discussion, Mrs. Bascetta stated they might go with a sliding door, which would accommodate their needs.

David Berry, 22 Chauncey Road, spoke in favor of this application.

John DeLisio, 56 Nott Street, asked whether the shed would comply with zoning regulations with regard to its location on the lot.

Commissioner Miglus indicated the Historic District rules on the style and not the location of the shed. This was a zoning issue and would be handled by the Zoning Department when the appropriate permits were obtained.

Chairperson Logan confirmed with Mr. Cook that the Building Department had already visited this location. Mr. Cook offered his assistance with getting Mr. DeLisio's questions answered regarding zoning issues and asked him to call him tomorrow or visit the Building Department. Chairperson Logan reiterated the purpose and jurisdiction of the Historic District Commission with regard to homes located in the historic district.

Mr. DeLisio mentioned a bad experience he had gone through with the Town and the previous Historic District Commission with regard to the construction of a garage at his home. He wanted to be sure that all residents in the Historic District were treated alike concerning what can and cannot be done in this part of town.

Mr. Cook confirmed a building permit was needed.

There being no one else who wished to speak in favor or against this application, this portion of the hearing was declared closed.

APPLICATION NO. 3210-04. Robert & Amalie Montstream seeking to replace siding at 357 Garden Street.

Robert & Amalie Montstream, 357 Garden Street, came before the board seeking to replace the deteriorating siding on their home with either wood or a concrete siding that looks like wood. The trim would remain unchanged and the existing siding would be replaced. The only change would be the gap would vary from 3 1/4" to 6". The siding has been patched and repaired by the previous owner. There is also a problem with mildew from leaks due to the repairs made to the siding.

Commissioner Wolf indicated the cost between wood and concrete siding was comparable.

Commissioner Miglus asked when the house was last painted. Mr. Montstream mentioned it was painted about three or

four years ago. He further stated that there was paint failure on the entire house along with mildew.

Mrs. Montstream stated one contractor suggested putting a 1/4" stick to allow an air space, which would help with the mildew problem.

Commissioner Miglus asked if replacing the siding would solve the mildew problem. Mrs. Montstream felt it would not solve the mildew problem.

Mr. Montstream mentioned the unevenness and the variation of the clad board, which was not evident in photographs of the house and did not reveal where doors and windows were filled in with siding. This was one of the reasons why water was seeping in. Mr. Montstream indicated he would prefer to replace the siding rather than try to repair it and insisted it be done properly. His preference was to use wood. The existing siding was broken, cracked and in some places curled.

Commissioner Miglus presented a few different options in order to remedy this situation. Mr. Montstream stated that he wished he had known of these options prior to coming to this meeting tonight. Commissioner Miglus asked if they would like to table this for two weeks so that they could determine the best course of action.

Chairperson Logan asked Mr. Montstream to remain in touch with Mr. Cook as to what they wished to do and when they would like to present their application.

Commissioner Miglus stated that if they elected to use wood, they did not have to appear before this Board.

Mr. Cook asked how long they have had a problem with mildew. Mr. Montstream indicated at least 10 years. He mentioned having the house pressure washed. Mr. Cook stated that sometimes when a house is pressure washed; it causes mildew because water is now placed where water was not present before. Mr. Montstream indicated the mildew was present prior to pressure washing the house.

Chairperson Logan indicated this application would be tabled until the Board hears from Mr. And Mrs. Montstream regarding their decision to replace the siding.

APPLICATION NO. 3211-04. Douglas R. Shipman seeking to replace the roof at 212 Main Street.

Douglas Shipman, Director of the Wethersfield Historical Society speaking on behalf of property known as Hurlbut-Dunham House, 212 Main Street. Also present was Mr. Joe Pierz of Pierz Associates, 115 Garden Street, Wethersfield, CT and Judy Parker, President of the Historical Society. Mr. Shipman explained the Wethersfield Historical Society owned the Hurlbut-Dunham House. Mr. Shipman referred to a notebook, which contained an Assessment conducted by Architect Roger Clark and funded through a Grant, which helped provide the impetus for this project. The second notebook contained the bid specifications prepared by Pierz Associates, which outlines the proposal for replacing the roof and associated woodwork. An Architectural Conservation Survey of the house was presented in January 2004 and stated "Structural conditions have deteriorated over time, partly due to age and partly due deferred maintenance". The survey stated the repairs should not be left unattended and it was essential that these be addressed as soon as possible. Among the most important repairs were replace/repair the roofing, rainleaders and flashings. Also mentioned was rebuilding the southeast chimney and repairing the damaged eaves overhangs at the same time. Damage blamed on rot to the woodwork and basement is due to the need to replace the roof. The existing roof is tin and the proposal is to replace it with a product of similar color an appearance, but with a different material. The primary reason for choosing this new material was for financial reasons. The new roof would not alter the overall appearance due to the pitch of the roof, which cannot be seen from the street.

Mr. Pierz confirmed that the work needs to be done. He also pointed out that the woodwork, soffits, trim and gutters needed to be replaced. All woodwork, trim fencing is visible and will be repaired or replaced similar to the original look. The proposed roof membrane will not lose its color more than 10% according to the supplier of the product. He brought a sample of the material for the Board to see. He stated that prior to repairing the interior damage; the roof had to be replaced in order to prevent future damage.

Commissioner Miglus asked if he has used this product before. Mr. Pierz stated that he has used this product before. Commissioner Miglus stated that the visibility from the street was low and the material on the house was soldered together using small panels of tin. He asked Mr. Pierz about the size of the proposed pieces to be used on the roof. Mr. Pierz stated that the actual pieces were about 15 - 20 feet. The membrane is packaged in a roll and can be laid out either vertically or horizontally. He further explained the gloss would disappear within six months of application.

Chairperson Logan asked why they picked brown rather than red. Mr. Pierz explained that the company does not make red. The standard colors are either black or white, but the industry was now offering a few more colors. Chairperson Logan explained that she has this type of material on her back roof, and is very pleased with the look, and wear ability of the product.

Mr. Pierz explained that the seams were not visible from the street. The company offers a standing seam kind of appearance. He provided some photographs of the proposed roof for the Board's review.

Chairperson Logan confirmed the eaves under the roof would also be done. Mr. Pierz stated anything relating to the roof was being done. He further mentioned the railings near the cupola area needed to be replaced. He did not think the railings could be repaired but had to be replaced.

Mr. Shipman hoped to start construction soon. The basement will need to be repaired after the roof is replaced.

Judy Park, 366 Pine Lane, President of the Historical Society spoke in favor of this application. She felt that this was the best compromise in order to get the job done and prevent further damage to this historic house.

There being no one else who wished to speak in favor or against this application, this portion of the hearing was declared closed.

TABLED APPLICATIONS

APPLICATION NO. 3198-04. John C. Peleponuk seeking to construct an addition, repair front porch and stairs, and install a fence in the rear at 30 Center Street (tabled last meeting date to act on June 22, 2004).

John Peleponuk, 30 Center Street, provided revised drawings of his proposed addition. He referred to his drawings, which illustrated moving the peak towards the center. Mr. Peleponuk mentioned the architect's concern that the pitch of one roof would not match the pitch of the other roof, which will not be obvious. The drawing reflected arch over the front entryway. He submitted the new drawings.

Commissioner Miglus asked what the window layout would be with the new roofline. Mr. Peleponuk referred to the drawings and stated he would be using the same design elements in the same general area with only a slight shift. Commissioner Miglus asked Mr. Peleponuk if he and his family were happy with this design. Mr. Peleponuk was only concerned with the appearance of the interior and was happy with the new design.

APPLICATION NO. 3200-04. Robert Worthington seeking to install siding and windows at 39 Kenwood Road (tabled - last meeting date to act on July 13, 2004).

Robert Worthington, 39 Kenwood Road, mentioned he appeared two weeks ago before this Board seeking to install siding and windows. The issue was tabled because of the windows. A sample of the proposed windows was on display for the Board to see.

Herbert Symmes, Building Sidings, Inc. 164 Brimfield Road, explained the proposed windows did not include grids.

Commissioner Miglus asked what color window he was proposing on using. Mr. Worthington said he would like to use white. The siding he was considering was beige, which should go nice with the white windows.

Mr. Worthington mentioned that the gutters and downspouts were white. Commissioner Miglus agreed that white was the best choice based on his choice for siding.

Commissioner Miglus confirmed the window trim would be brick moulding which was on the house currently. He said either trim could be done. He felt if he was considering siding the goal was to have it as unnoticeable as possible, so that it could pass for wood. Mr. Worthington did not object to using a flat trim. He confirmed the venerated soffit would be in white. Commissioner Miglus asked if the strip were left in the middle and the boards were wrapped if it would cause buckling. Mr. Symmes did not think it would.

APPLICATION NO. 3203-04. Jill & Donald Swoverland seeking to construct an addition and deck and install an above-ground pool at 29 Belmont Street. (tabled - last meeting date to act on: July 13, 2004).

Donald Swoverland, 29 Belmont Street, came before the Board. He confirmed that the existing window and fence are another application. There is an existing picket fence, which was approved by the committee. He mentioned his neighbor's fence was approved. He also presented a petition in support of the proposed fence. Chairperson Logan stated that Commissioner Ovian did not wish to vote on the pool until the windows were approved.

Mr. Swoverland indicated being in the house for 18 years and had replaced the replacement windows with new replacement windows because of safety concerns. He presented photographs of the windows for the Board.

Mr. Cook mentioned the fence would allow zero visibility of the proposed pool.

Commissioner Garrey mentioned stipulating the fence and approve it at the July meeting.

Chairperson Logan confirmed that there would be no trees removed in order to put up the fence.

Commissioner Miglus mentioned concerns raised at the last meeting with the addition and whether it would be sided. Mr. Swoverland provided photographs of his home. Commissioner Miglus was concerned with the overhang and the roof. Mr. Swoverland mentioned that the upper overhang was 16" and lower overhang was 24". Commissioner Miglus asked if he could move the entire addition by 8-12" and not sacrifice the interior area. Mr. Swoverland indicated he could do this and not have to alter his plans for the use of the interior area.

Mr. Swoverland indicated he would cut down a stockade fence. Commissioner Miglus confirmed a 48" stockade fence with 12" of lattice on the top for a total 60". Mr. Swoverland stated there was a 4' swing gate on the opposite side and 8' swing gate on the driveway side. The gate was a one-piece swing gate. He mentioned he did not plan to use it unless he needed to drive a truck into the back.

APPLICATION NO. 3205-04. Andrew Bykowski seeking to remove a shed/porch structure at 16 Fernwood Street (tabled - last meeting date to act on: July 13, 2004).

Andrew Bykowski, 16 Fernwood Street, came back before the Board in order to present the specs for the windows. He indicated he was looking to use Anderson double hung 1 over 1 in order to keep it the same as before.

Commissioner Miglus was pleased with the choice of windows in proportion to the house. He asked if he thought about retaining the porch and use it as an open porch. Mr. Bykowski did not use the space and felt it would block the sun and he did not think he would use an open porch.

Chairperson Logan felt that the front door was beautiful and should be utilized somewhere else. Mr. Bykowski stated he intended on using the existing front door somewhere else. He mentioned the windows about the existing porch.

Commissioner Miglus felt an open porch could work based on the slope of the roof. Mr. Bykowski did not wish to leave the existing door where it was now that he has moved his bedroom into the space, which was originally the living room.

Chairperson Logan asked what the room was used for. Mr. Bykowski was not sure because he never used this area, which was occupied by animals that decided to nest there. He further stated when the addition was added on it changed the entire format of the house. He mentioned when this structure is removed he would have to replace it with aluminum siding.

OTHER BUSINESS

Nora Uricchio, 31 Spring Street, presented a small cardboard model of her home. The model reflected the desire to add 2' on either side and keep the existing addition as is. Would like to come back in two weeks. She confirmed constructed had been halted.

There being no other business to come before the Commission, the public hearing was declared closed.

WETHERSFIELD HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION PUBLIC MEETING JUNE 8, 2004

APPLICATION NO. 3206-04. Kurt D. Miller seeking to replace and expand the existing deck, and enclose and add on to the existing breezeway at 361 Hartford Avenue.

INFORMAL DISCUSSION

Commissioner Miglus felt because of the lack of clarity he was not comfortable approving any roof line or cupolas that would extend above the top. He was pleased with the style of door chosen for this breezeway.

Commissioner Garrey agreed with Commissioner Miglus' comments with regard to the cupola.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Garrey and a poll of the Commission it was voted to APPROVE the subject application with the stipulation that no part of the breezeway addition shall extend above the existing breezeway.

Aye: Garrey, Logan, Miglus, Wolf

APPLICATION NO. 3207-04. Thomas M. Ayres seeking to install a shed in the rear yard at 18 Foote Path Lane.

INFORMAL DISCUSSION

Commissioner Miglus felt the shed would have very little impact on the historic district.

Upon motion by Commissioner Garrey, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to APPROVE the subject application as submitted.

Ayes: Garrey, Logan, Miglus, Wolf

APPLICATION NO. 3208-04. Carolyn D. Vallieres seeking to install replacement windows at 4 Wilcox Street.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Garrey and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to DENY the subject application as submitted.

REASON FOR THE DENIAL

The proposed windows did not replicate what was being replaced. He felt if the applicant were given a little direction she could find many other products that could work for her and preserve the authenticity of the house.

Commissioner Wolf agreed with Commissioner Miglus' statements.

Chairperson Logan indicated the current windows were beautiful.

Ayes: Garrey, Logan, Miglus, Wolf

APPLICATION NO. 3209-04. Antonio & Ann Bascetta seeking to install a shed in the rear yard at 36 Northbrick Lane.

INFORMAL DISCUSSION

Commissioner Miglus felt if the shed faced a different direction, he would have been concerned.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Garrey and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to APPROVE the subject application as submitted.

Ayes: Garrey, Logan, Miglus, Wolf

APPLICATION NO. 3210-04. Robert & Amalie Montstream seeking to replace siding at 357 Garden Street.

INFORMAL DISCUSSION

Chairperson Logan asked Mr. Cook to remind the Mr. and Mrs. Montstream two weeks before their application expires.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Garrey and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to TABLE the subject application.

Aye: Garrey, Logan, Miglus, Wolf

APPLICATION NO. 3211-04. Douglas R. Shipman seeking to replace the roof at 212 Main Street.

INFORMAL DISCUSSION

Commissioner Miglus felt the product presented tonight would do an adequate job based on its location and the pitch of the roof of replicating what is their. His only concern was with the gloss, which the Board was told would fade away in six months.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to APPROVE the subject application as submitted.

Ayes: Garrey, Logan, Miglus, Wolf

APPLICATION NO. 3198-04. John C. Peleponuk seeking to construct an addition, repair front porch and stairs, and install a fence in the rear at 30 Center Street (tabled last meeting date to act on June 22, 2004).

INFORMAL DISCUSSION

Commissioner Wolf indicated that the applicants were a pleasure to work with and were open to ideas presented to them.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Garrey and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to APPROVE the subject application as submitted with the stipulation that the fence not be installed at this time.

Ayes: Garrey, Logan, Miglus, Wolf

APPLICATION NO. 3200-04. Robert Worthington seeking to install siding and windows at 39 Kenwood Road (tabled - last meeting date to act on July 13, 2004).

INFORMAL DISCUSSION

Commissioner Miglus mentioned the house was lovely and did not have major paint failure. He did not understand the

desire to install siding.

Commissioner Garrey mentioned some residents prefer siding to clapboard.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Garrey and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to APPROVE the application with the following stipulations:

1. Windows shall be white Harvey Majesty clad windows with no grids with 3" trim flat casing and sills shall be incorporated.
2. Siding shall be cream color vinyl cedar-shingle replica with butted corners
3. Soffits shall be painted.
4. Trim shall be flat with J-channel incorporated into the trim.

Aye: Garrey, Logan, Wolf Nay: Miglus

APPLICATION NO. 3203-04. Jill & Donald Swoverland seeking to construct an addition and deck and install an above-ground pool at 29 Belmont Street. (tabled - last meeting date to act on: July 13, 2004).

INFORMAL DISCUSSION

Commissioner Garrey mentioned the house was beautiful and the drawings were complete.

Commissioner Miglus stated the addition would work well as a reflection of the front porch and the siding brought down to the ground would work well.

Commissioner Garrey suggested stipulating the fence because there was going to be another public hearing and he wanted the public to have notice of the fence.

Commissioner Miglus said the fence would shield the pool.

Mr. Cook wanted to take the fence off the window application and simplify the process.

Commissioner Wolf indicated the addition was lovely and she admired the work being done on the house, but she would not approve an above-ground pool unless it is completely shielded by a fence because of its location near the street and the size of the yard.

Chairperson Logan stated that the fence would shield the pool from view due to its design. Chairperson Logan confirmed the application included the pool, fence and addition.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Garrey and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to APPROVE with the following stipulations:

1. Overhang on addition shall not extend past the wall of the existing house
2. There shall be a 48" stockade fence with 12" lattice on top for a total height of 60".
3. Two gates shall be incorporated in the fence. A 4' swing gate to the right of the house and an 8' swing gate to the left of the house.

Aye: Garrey, Logan, Miglus Nay: Wolf

APPLICATION NO. 3205-04. Andrew Bykowski seeking to remove a shed/porch structure at 16 Fernwood Street (tabled - last meeting date to act on: July 13, 2004).

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Garrey and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to APPROVE with the following stipulations:

1. The windows shall be Anderson double hung tilt wash 400 Series 2'2 by 4'0 as per drawings submitted.

2. The existing porch door shall be reused in the front door opening.

Aye: Garrey, Logan, Miglus, Wolf

Nora Uricchio, 81 Spring Street.

Upon motion by Commissioner Miglus, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to AMEND the application for 81 Spring Street to include 2' added to the addition per model submitted to the Board tonight.

Ayes: Garrey, Logan, Miglus, Wolf

OTHER BUSINESS

Commissioner Wolf mentioned that Marne Van Dyke wished to add an addendum. Chairperson Logan agreed with adding it as an addendum. She mentioned the need to give a deadline on the vinyl fence.

Application No. 3176-04. Lawrence & Sara Gluckman, 62 Center Street wished to install a new window in the second floor bathroom. Commissioner Miglus voiced his concerns as to whether they intended on doing the whole house with the same windows. He suggests looking at it to see how visible this window would be. He did not want to see this type of window used in the entire house. Mr. Cook suggested a new application for the entire house.

Commissioner Garrey was under the impression that the applicants intended on replacing all of the windows in the house.

Commissioner Logan indicated she had no problem with this replacement.

Commissioner Miglus stated he would rather see the windows replaced with a wood clad window.

Mr. Cook suggested that any window replaced visible from the street should be of a wood consistency on the house as agreed by all the Commissioners.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 10:02 p.m. and upon motion by Commissioner Garrey, seconded by Commissioner Wolf and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to ENTER INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF DISCUSSING PENDING LITIGATION.

Aye: Garrey, Logan, Miglus, Wolf

No motions were made and no votes were taken during the Executive Session.

At 10:25 p.m. and upon motion by Commissioner Garrey, seconded by Commissioner Miglus and a poll of the Commission, it was voted to MOVE OUT OF EXECUTIVE SESSION.

Aye: Garrey, Logan, Miglus, Wolf

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 10:26 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted

TOWN OF WETHERSFIELD
HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION

Jennifer Wolf
Clerk